



**2021 Pennsylvania Family Council
Voter's Guide Questionnaire for Judicial Candidates
Reply Requested by January 29, 2021**

Candidate name : Megan Sullivan Kampf

E-mail(forpublication): megkampf@comcast.net

Website (for publication) none currently, will supplement if I am the endorsed candidate

Phone (for publication): 484-643-9419

1. Which of the following U.S. presidents best represents your political philosophy? ·

· **John F. Kennedy**

· **Ronald Reagan**

· **George Bush (former)**

· **Bill Clinton**

· **George W. Bush**

· **Barack Obama**

2. Which one of the recent justices of the U.S. Supreme Court most reflects your judicial philosophy?

· **Roberts** · **Kagan** · **Scalia** · **Gorsuch** · **Kennedy** · **Thomas** · **Sotomayor** ·

Kavanaugh · **Ginsburg** · **Breyer** · **Alito** · **Barrett**

3. Rate your judicial philosophy on a scale of 1-10 with "living document" approach being a "1" and "strict construction" or "originalist" being a "10."

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

4. Please list the five organizations in which you are most involved as a member, through contributions, and/or through volunteering.

1. Boy Scouts, Devon50 troop

2. Home at Last Dog Rescue

3. Church

4. Mother's Club at Saint Joseph's Prep, the high school my son attends

5. Valley Forge Middle School, the school my daughter attends

5. Do you believe that *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), insofar as it recognizes a “right to privacy” that includes abortion under the United States Constitution, was correctly or incorrectly decided? (Circle One)

- Correctly Decided
- Incorrectly Decided
- Undecided
- Decline to answer (describe reason)

Although I do not believe that directly responding to this question would affect my ability to be open minded with regard to any issue or show prejudice for or against any parties that may come before me, there is a legitimate concern that it could be argued that my impartiality would be undermined and require me to possibly recuse myself from deciding any issue under this legal rubric. Under Rule 2.11 of the Code of Judicial Conduct a judge must “disqualify herself in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned.” The possibility exists that the answer to this question may undermine my impartiality, and I do not want to create a situation that may invite a request for my recusal or invite parties to question my fairness under the Rules of Judicial conduct.

Signature Megan Sullivan Date 1/29/21
Kampf

Thank you.

Please Sign and Reply by January 29, 2021 via Fax 717-545-8107 or E-mail to ekreps@pafamily.org

Or mail to: Pennsylvania Family Council 23 N. Front St. Harrisburg PA 17101